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# RDF production-ready database systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production ready</th>
<th>MarkLogic</th>
<th>v7</th>
<th>v8</th>
<th>v9</th>
<th>v10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>v11</td>
<td>v12</td>
<td>v18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtuoso</td>
<td>v5</td>
<td>v7</td>
<td>v8</td>
<td>v8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JenaTDB</td>
<td>4Store</td>
<td>v3</td>
<td>v4</td>
<td>v5</td>
<td>v6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWLIM</td>
<td>v2</td>
<td>v4</td>
<td>v5</td>
<td>v2.1</td>
<td>v2.5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bigdata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame</td>
<td>v1.1</td>
<td>v2</td>
<td>v2.2</td>
<td>v2.3</td>
<td>v2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allegrograph</td>
<td>v2</td>
<td>v3</td>
<td>v4</td>
<td>v5.1</td>
<td>v6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDFox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnzoGraph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common characteristics of these systems

- RDF data models (but property models is also supported)
- Querying with SPARQL 1.1 (but Gremlin is also supported)
- ACID transactions
- Reasoning
- Generally distributed
- Full-text search (using Solr or Elastic search)
Question addressed in this talk

- What is the right market for RDF stores?
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1. Analytics in database systems
The data deluge

AND HOW TO HANDLE IT: A 14-PAGE SPECIAL REPORT
Classification of database applications

- Two kinds of processing:
  - Transactional
    - Ability to collect and manage data in a concurrent and fault tolerant manner
  - Analytical
    - Ability to create information from data
    - Analyze operational data to create reports and support decision making
Classification of database applications

- Two kinds of processing:
  - Transactional → OnLine Transactional Processing (OLTP)
    - Ability to collect and manage data
  - Analytical → OnLine Analytical Processing (OLAP)
    - Ability to create information from data
    - Analyze operational data to create reports and support decision making

- Online means end-users expect fast answers to their queries
## OLTP vs OLAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLTP</th>
<th>OLAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Read pattern</strong></td>
<td>Few tuples fetched by a key (index)</td>
<td>Large number of records are accessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Write pattern</strong></td>
<td>High frequency of small transactions</td>
<td>Low frequency of very large transactions, Bulk import, Data streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latency</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dataset sizes</strong></td>
<td>GB to few TB</td>
<td>TB to several PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End-users</strong></td>
<td>Many non specialized</td>
<td>Few specialized (Business analysts, Managers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kinds of analytics

- **Descriptive analytics: what happened?**
  - Did we run out of beer cans in store S last month?

- **Diagnostic analytics: why did it happen?**
  - Get contextual information that last month was the beginning of the soccer world cup

- **Predictive analytics: what will happen?**
  - Use external knowledge of coming sport events to know when to stock up beer cans in some stores

- **Prescriptive analytics: how can we make it happen?**
  - Sponsor sport events to sell more beer cans
Data storage

- “A Data Warehouse stores and manages data. OLAP transforms Data Warehouse data into strategic information” (OLAP Council)
Analytical processing

- Performed by OLAP and/or data mining components
- There are several kinds of OLAP systems
  - Multidimensional OLAP (MOLAP)
  - Relational OLAP (ROLAP)
  - Hybrid OLAP (HOLAP)
  - And the emerging Graph OLAP (GOLAP)
ROLAP - schema

- Distinction between fact (e.g., item sales) and dimension (e.g., store, customer) tables
ROLAP - schema

- Distinction between fact (e.g., item sales) and dimension (e.g., store, customer) tables
- Schemata
  - Star schema
  - Snowflake schema (multiple levels of dimension tables)
  - Constellation schema (multiple fact tables)
ROLAP - SQL extensions
## OLAP - Views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Virtual views</th>
<th>Materialized views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DB system</strong></td>
<td>OLTP</td>
<td>Data Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>Logical table</td>
<td>Logical table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Persisted in DBMS</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latency</strong></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of updates</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OLAP - Data storage

- Column-oriented storage
  - Stores tuples column-wise (not row-wise)
  - More efficient for select queries retrieving a subset of the tuples of some tuples
  - Limited on writing operations (have to access several files to insert/delete a tuple)
OLAP - Data storage

- **Compression**
  - More possibilities to compress data on columnar storage than row storage
  - **Solutions**
    - Run-length encoding
    - Delta encoding
    - Bit encoding

Original data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:05</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:10</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:20</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compressed data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compressed data (value, offset, length):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M,0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F,3,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M,4,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F,5,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M,6,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Reasons why RDF data management is OLAP
Use cases emphasized by prod-ready systems

- Curating data for advanced analytics
- ERP Integration
- Mainframe migration
- Managing regulated data
- Smart metadata management
- Advanced search and discovery
- Analytics/BI
- Fraud detection
- Recommendations
Use cases emphasized by prod-ready systems

- Curating data for advanced analytics
- ERP Integration
- Mainframe migration
- Managing regulated data
- Smart metadata management
- Advanced search and discovery
- Analytics/BI
- Fraud detection
- Recommendations
Study on large graph processing [1]

- Analytics is the task where end-users are spending the most hours (more than testing, debugging, maintenance, ETL and cleaning).

- Top graph computations performed: finding connected components, neighborhood queries, finding shortest paths, subgraph matching (i.e., SPARQL), ranking and centrality scores, reachability queries.

Frequency of Linked Data updates

- In practice, Linked Data publishing is periodic bulk loading, e.g., DBPedia, Yago, health science

  ○ Periodically processing very large transactions makes more sense than supporting (very) frequently small transactions
  ○ Example: load a new version of DBPedia (billions of assertions every six months)
Features of the SPARQL query language

- SPARQL 1.0 (jan 2008) -> SPARQL 1.1 (march 2013)
  - 5 years to get update operations (INSERT and DELETE) in W3C recommendation!
  - It did not get that long to get update support in SQL, Cypher, Gremlin
Implementation aspect

- RDF Stores are mostly OLTP because it is easier to deal with ACIDity than efficient data partitioning
  - ACID is an engineering/programming challenge
  - Graph partitioning is a “theoretical” (NP-hard) problem
Issues with reasoning

- Two reasoning solutions
  - Materialization
  - Query rewriting
Materialization

- Make explicit all inferences in the store
- Pros:
  - Efficient query processing (no reasoning at query runtime)
- Cons:
  - Slow data loading
  - Data volume expansion
  - Tricky update management (Truth maintenance system)
- aka forward reasoning or saturation or closure
Materialization (2)

- Explicit facts:
  
  QBE04 ssn:onPlatform Platform#2
  QBE04 rdf:type SensingDevice

- The following facts would be added:
  
  QBE04 rdf:type Sensor
  QBE04 rdf:type PhysicalObject
  QBE04 ssn:hasLocation Platform#2
Query rewriting

- Reformulate the original query such that all answers can be retrieved
- Pros:
  - No preprocessing overhead
  - No expansion of stored data volume
  - Easy update management
- Cons:
  - Slow query processing due to cost of reasoning at query runtime
- aka Backward reasoning or query reformulation
Query rewriting (2)

• Original query:
  ```
  SELECT ?x WHERE { ?x rdf:type PhysicalObject }
  ```

• Reformulated query:
  ```
  SELECT ?x WHERE { ?x rdf:type PhysicalObject }
  UNION
  SELECT ?x WHERE { ?x rdf:type Sensor }
  UNION
  SELECT ?x WHERE { ?x rdf:type SensingDevice }
  ```
Reasoning in prod-ready RDF stores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triple store</th>
<th>Materialization</th>
<th>Query rewriting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allegrograph</td>
<td>OWLRL</td>
<td>RDFS++, Prolog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnzoGraph</td>
<td>RDFS+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blazegraph</td>
<td>RDFS, OWL Lite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphDB</td>
<td>RDFS, OWL Horst, OWLRL, OWLQL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarkLogic</td>
<td>RDFS, RDFS++, OWL Horst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>RDFS, OWLRL, OWLQL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDFox</td>
<td>OWL2RL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stardog</td>
<td>All OWL2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtuoso</td>
<td>RDFS++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reasoning

- It is hard to efficiently maintain the consistency of an RDF store in the face of a high transaction rate and support for reasoning.
- With materialization, it may be impossible to support a high rate of transactions.
- With query rewriting, query answering will be very slow.
3. One system and several research directions
One system - AnzoGraph

- Originally Sparql City, acquired by Cambridge Semantics in 2016
  - Designed by an expert of OLAP systems (Applix, Netezza, Paraccel)
- Part of Anzo smart data lake and also available standalone
- Master-slave, shared-nothing
- RDFS+ inferences
- Rich library for SQL and excel-like functions, C++ API for UDF
- Extends SPARQL 1.1 with
  - OLAP ops: CUBE, ROLLUP, GROUPING SET
  - Named queries, views
- Graph algorithms: centrality, community detection, path finding
AnzoGraph

- Defined as both a Data Warehouse and a GOLAP system

- AnzoGraph can retrieve data from
  - Different external sources including OLTP RDF stores (partnership with MarkLogic
  - Anzo Smart Data Lake

- Commercial with 60 days trial version

- Sufficient to support descriptive and diagnostic analytics

- What about predictive and prescriptive analytics?
An ideal system

- Is distributed, fault tolerant
- Handles both batch and stream processing
- Proposes reasoning services
- Performs description, diagnostic, predictive and prescriptive analytics
  - Such a system needs to support knowledge processing
  - Knowledge graph seems to be the ideal representation for this processing
No need to design such a system from scratch

- The Data Warehouse and MapReduce worlds are merging
  - Teradata, Cloudera/Hortonworks, MapR + Arcadia Data

- Frameworks such as Apache Spark or Apache Flink are good candidates to design an OLAP RDF store
  - Libraries available for
    - query processing (SparkSQL / Table API)
    - stream processing (Structure streaming / Datastream API)
    - Graph processing (GraphX / Gelly)
    - Machine learning (MLib / FlinkML)
Spark’s GraphFrame

- Equivalent of Spark’s DataFrame for distributed, fault tolerant graph processing
- Equipped with triplets API and graph pattern matching (Cypher-like)
- Supports view materialization, query optimization (via Catalyst)
- Able to manipulate graph algorithms (pagerank, connected components, triangle count, etc.)
- Easy to design an app that manipulates MLlib, SparkSQL, Structured Streaming
- Limits: no index (brute force), no incremental graph updates
4. Conclusion

- RDF database management is more an OLAP than an OLTP market
- But most RDF Stores are OLTP. Only AnzoGraph can be considered GOLAP
- More research and implementation are needed on issues pertaining to
  - Query processing
    - Integrating SQL-like OLAP operations and graph algorithms in SPARQL
  - Reasoning
  - Allowing prediction
Moving forward

- **HTAP : Hybrid Transactional Analytical processing**
  - Breaking the wall between OLTP and OLAP
  - Allows advanced analytics on real time transaction data
  - Challenges:
    - Being efficient for both OLTP and OLAP operations
    - Lack of experience on these systems
  - Technical solutions
    - One copy of the data
    - In-memory